

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

5 * * *

6 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Case No. 2:16-cr-0348-RFB

7 Plaintiff,

ORDER

8 v.

9 FRED OAXACA et al.,

10 Defendants.

11
12 Before the Court are Defendants' Motion(s) to Vacate. ECF Nos. 107, 108 and 110. In their
13 motions, Defendants argue that their convictions should vacated because their crime of conviction,
14 aiding and abetting a Hobbs Act robbery, does not qualify as a crime of violence in light of United
15 States v. Davis, 139 S.Ct. 2319 (2019). The Court rejects Defendants' argument and denies their
16 motions. The Court finds that the Defendants' argument is and has been foreclosed by controlling
17 Ninth Circuit precedent in Young v. United States, 22 F.4th 1115 (9th Cir. 2022).

18
19 For the reasons stated,

20 **IT IS ORDERED** that the Motions to Vacate [ECF Nos. 107, 108 and 110] are DENIED.

21 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Motion for Leave [ECF No. 149] is GRANTED.

22
23 **DATED:** May 23, 2023.

24
25 

26 **RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**
27 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**
28